Brussels *Psittacidae* Impacts, risk assessment and action range

Science Facing Aliens 11/5/2009





Introduction

- □ SOS Invasions 2006
- □ Research led in 2008
- Brussels Environment Survey

1. Monk Parakeet *Myiopsitta monachus*

Not developed here (easier to manage in Brussels)



2. Ring-necked Parakeet *Psittacula krameri*



Moniotte

The species

- Natural distribution : Central Africa and south of Asia
- **Gize** : 40 cm
- Diet : vegetation, seeds, fruits
- Nests : tree cavities
- Feral populations: frequent (at least 35 countries)
- Breeding season : March July, cavities occupation from winter

Evolution of the Brussels population



Counts at roosts (M. Segers and Aves)

- One roost first, two from 2004 (max: 8.250 birds in 2007)
- Distribution in Belgium : Brussels and surroundings, local patches
- Feeding by man
- Invasive potential: further massive increase not excluded (London)

Preferential places for actions

Flying lines => Brussels roosts

Action taken at roosts will concern most of the national population.

- Impact on crops of feral population (not observed in Brussels):
 Very localised, global impact acceptable (fruit crops in GB)
- Impact on vegetation :
 Very localised, global impact acceptable
- Pathologies transmission : Influenza virus, Newcastle disease
- Impact on indigenous fauna

- Impact on indigenous fauna: competition for cavities:
 - This aspect is at present the main threat of the species
 - Bats + Avifauna (enlarging of cavity entrance)
 - Bats => very difficult to study
 - Literature: only one case of suggested impact on Nuthatch (Strubbe & Matthysen, 2007)
 - Evidence of a negative impact on Nuthatch when competition is forced (Strubbe & Matthysen, 2009)

Point counts : cavity nesters in good health

Trend in 1992-2008 (%/year)



- Point counts 1992-2008: cavity nesters in good health
- Research in 2002 in highest density areas (75t/km² in N-W of Brussels) :
 - hormal abundances of indigenous cavity nesters
 - > free cavities => old trees, excavating behaviour
- Further point counts analyse: covariable "Ring-necked Parakeet"
 - No negative impact on cavity nesters trends
 - Positive impact on Green Woodpecker, Blue Tit, Great Tit (less significant) and Short-toed Treecreeper

But...

- Cavity supply is important at present, but will sharply decrease with the regeneration of tree settlements
- Necessity of constant monitoring to detect an impact that can arise if holes availability declines

Conclusions

- Potential negative impact on cavity-nester birds in the shortterm, even if the present impact is positive!
- Could be environment management, and particularly old trees preservation, the key of the non appearance of a negative impact on cavity nesting birds?

Risks assessment

UK non-native organism risk assessment scheme (risks for environment and socio-economy)

- Iow to medium risk
- Necessity to keep on the monitoring
- Guidelines for environmental impact assessment and list classification of non-native organisms in Belgium (risks for Belgian biodiversity)
 - Between categories B (Watch list) and C (low environmental risk)

3. Alexandrine Parakeet *Psittacula eupatria*



The species

- Natural distribution : mostly from India to Vietnam
- Feral populations => scarce
- Association with the Ring-necked Parakeet

Evolution of the Brussels population

Fast increase:

- First observations in 1998
- 6 breeding pairs in 1999
- 10-15 b.p. in 2000
- 35-40 b.p. in 2004





Weiserbs & Jacob, 2007

- Distribution in Belgium: Brussels, some possible cases in the Northern surroundings
- Invasive potential: a strong increase has to be expected

! Few examples: // Ring-necked Parakeet

- Impact on crops
- Impact on vegetation
- Pathologies transmission
- Impact on indigenous fauna

Conclusions:

- Low numbers => weak present impacts
- > Additive to Ring-necked Parakeet impacts
- A strong increase has to be considered, going with growing impacts

Risks assessment

- UK non-native organism risk assessment scheme (risks for environment and socio-economy)
 - Iow to medium risk
 - Necessity to keep on monitoring
- Guidelines for environmental impact assessment and list classification of non-native organisms in Belgium (risks for Belgian biodiversity)
 - Between categories B (Watch list) et C (low environmental risk)
 - Few examples => caution, regular new assessments needed

Preferential places for actions

 Roosts, probably draining the whole population (flying lines), represent preferential action sites

Actions range

Species targeted measures:

- Catching attempt at nesting sites in 1999
- Present population too important for catching at nest
- No targeted measures

Actions range

- Common measures feeding by human
 Measures for both *Psittacula*
 - Soft action: Competition for cavities level
 - Set nesting boxes
 - Keep old trees
 - Stronger action : sterilize

Sterilize

Chemical option (considered in GB)

- Catch birds at roosts

Catching in practice

Fixed Net



Clap net



Double Clap net



Pictures : Bub, 1991

Catching in practice

Cannon netting



Pictures : Bub, 1991

Sterilize

Chemical option (considered in GB)

- Catch all birds
- Several days caged (people information)
- Diazacon: enzyme inhibition in the process of steroïd synthesis (Monk Parakeet, corvids)
- Effectiveness to test (75 mg/kg?)
- Persistence
- Attractive package

Actions range

Common measures – human feeding
 Measures for both *Psittacula*

Soft action: Competition for cavities level

Stronger action : sterilize

Strongest : eradicate

Container

Inappropriate

Discussion: which action?

Factors of influence

- > Action at low level of abundance
- … precaution principle
- >< adapt measure to impact</p>
- No local destructions
- People reaction

Acknowledgements

- Brussels Environment (IBGE-BIM)
- Volunteers